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To them all that sincerely wish to know 
all that truly ontologically was of impotence in the "Beginning":
Not this or that imagined "Word", that as such is an effect,
but the Perfect Cause called Λόγος.
INESCAPABLE CONSEQUENCES OF DEFECTIVE REASONING

A

Reader, just look honestly for once at the present state of our world, while constantly bearing in mind the indisputable flow of action and events from cause to effect. What we today observe is the consequence of what we did yesterday. Despite appearances, and our "reasoning" in terms of supposedly "cyclical" operations in the "economy" that unreasonably above all else controls our lives, the cycles are never closed; each one leaves behind its own indelible mark that plays distinctly in shaping the tomorrow. So, wherefrom must we start examining the where we now stand? I suggest we start from examining the precise meaning of the words we use, especially the totally confused meaning of words borrowed from the Greek, the roots and etymology of which remains unknown to most, and thus their meaning is indeed far removed from the true one, and each one of us understands what he says, reads and hears as he thinks the meaning of the word to be. It is a terrible perversion of the Truth to think perversely, under the "guidance" of renowned professors and Nobel laureates no less, that the "economy" is solely about money! It is about the totality of νομον (= laws) [whence the -nomy in economy derives] that determine the proper operation of the οίκος (= house) [whence the eco- in economy derives], whether the "house" be one's own, or one's country, or the "world" on earth, or even it cannot but indeed be the entire physical universe!

It must at long last be admitted that the quality of reasoning of our professors, matched by their so constricted vision of the World, of which their understanding of the "economy" is only a small part, falls far short of what is truly needed! They have split themselves into "schools": Some are "theists", some are atheists, some are polemical atheists; some are "agnostic" unable to decide what to believe, yet they "teach", obviously what they cannot decide whether it is true or false; some are "NeoDarwinians" believing in evolution operating under natural selection as a powerful force of nature: they ignore that Darwin never abandoned belief in God as they have, as well as that natural selection does not operate in the inanimate world the specifically determined structure of the components of which is not subject to any imaginable sort of natural selection; some are "naturalists" defining "naturalism [a]s the philosophical theory that treats science as our most reliable source of knowledge and [the] scientific method as the most effective route to knowledge", while conveniently ignoring that "science" as practiced today is hardly more than an activity built on the pure belief that its assumptions and theories are and
must be treated as quasi-sancrosanct and their foundations not to be questioned, lest the whole edifice of our "knowledge" collapse; and there may well be other, supposed respectable, "schools" that now escape me.

When we dare expand the limits of "economy" to encompass the entire physical universe, we see at once that other factors also play a crucial part in the development of things, and not just, if ever, the contents of our own pocket! Do you really think that we, each one of us, are much too small to really matter in the matters of the universe? Think again: Everything we do disturbs the proper balance of the physical universe; and the universe, in order to retain its proper balance, must and does react! How immeasurably fast? "Science" has never yet considered, but is elsewhere explained (see: http://www.thefreegplibrary.edu.gr/01.%20PRINCIPIA%20PHYSICA%20UNIVERSI.pdf, on book page 228).

When the entire universe is so constructed, even this physical hard fact must be explained how it is so, if nobody so determined! If any human can demonstrate that he, a mere "speck", truly is his own cause, only then can he at all proceed to state that even the entire universe has been its own cause, given all the facts already known about it, including those indisputably stated in the above website, that conspiratorially are suppressed and not discussed since 1986, because they indeed have brought down the supposed to be still standing "scientific" edifice! **Unless we consider correctly the Indisputable First Cause of everything that is, our "reasoning" shall continue to be defective!!!**

B

Considering together all the above, we find ourselves duty bound once more to pay our respects to Heracleitos, for having stated "Though this Logos—this fundamental law—existeth from all time, yet mankind are unaware of it, both ere they hear it and in the moment that they hear it" (see: The Greek Thinkers, by Theodor Gomperz, Vol. 1, First Published 1901, Seventh Impression 1964, p. 74); of which it has been a later repetition, more qualified but not in the least crystal clear as we shall see below, the opening phrase of the Gospel according to St. John: "Ἐν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ Λόγος, καὶ ὁ Λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν Θεόν, καὶ Θεὸς ἦν ὁ Λόγος"; that ever since theologians were involved and translations began has been totally misunderstood and is being mistranslated as "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God", or as "Im Anfang war das Wort, und das Wort war bei Gott, und Gott war das Wort".

Surely, not unjustly was Heracleitos called the "obscure philosopher": It was much too early that he could be exact as to what the word Λόγος truly meant; the crystal-clear understanding of what is the person and the non-person had not yet been achieved; even as in Greek grammar, there already was a clear distinction of subject and object as only in Greek can best be put down. How powerful the person and the non-person distinction was and how far it extended had yet to be understood, as it indeed still has as shall be shown below. Also, the distinction of the purely spiritual from the material had not yet been clearly drawn. Of these, the last distinction began with Plato conceiving the Perfect
Ideas that as such can and must be understood as spiritual entities. To these we are first referred by the material πράγματα (= things), that exactly on account of their material nature cannot be any more perfect than they already are. Ideas are conceptions, call them denizens of the mind, even those that such as an engineer, sculptor, or painter first conceives in his mind, and only then does he proceed to construct an as functional as possible material representation of them, that alone can stand for them in the material world! We all have ideas in our minds, but not all of them can be represented as material objects; the vast number of them remain in our minds and are of the unequal quality in which each one of us can conceive them. This recognition led Plato to propose the existence of ideas of the highest possible universal quality, the Perfect Ideas, that exactly for the reason of their perfect nature are not denizens of the human mind, yet for the fact that they too are ideas, there must somewhere be a Perfect Mind where alone they can and must exist. Perfection is itself a difficult Idea, one which "pragmatists" are all too quick to dismiss as non-existent, exactly because belief in it exacts a spiritual price the "pragmatists" are unwilling to meet; even in the face of the fact that marketers and marketeers are in constant competition with each other in their constant effort to serve us, for a price, products at least of supposedly superior quality! This process cannot go on ad infinitum. Though intellectually conceived, infinity is not an intellectual but a purely mathematical concept, nor is it a physical, and only questionably a spiritual, one. So, too, Perfection is achievable, which makes it finite, rather than infinite and unachievable, pursuit.

**C**

Having started with the word Λόγος, taking it from Herakleitos, let us be totally clear about it: Any uttering of the mouth making sense in any language qualifies as commonly shared Λόγος, strictly and ideally provided that the listener takes it as the speaker meant it. However, the real question remains: Is it the mouth that produces the meaning that the sound produced by it conveys, or is the mouth simply following an order to take up such a form as to produce the sound intended to convey the meaning the words about to be uttered are being desired to be understood by the listener exactly as they are being intended by the speaker? Surely, nobody, especially a savvy politician minimally worthy his salt, shall ever agree that he speaks solely by his mouth than by his mind! Then again, is the mind nothing other than the material brain, that solely supervises, and to the extent it can, controls both the automatic silent bodily functions and, as it also produces and issues them, the orders according to which the moving parts of the body including the mouth shall function? If the brain functions only so, then clearly, the ideas that the words pronounced convey are not denizens of the brain that is nothing other than matter and can only contain material entities. The ideas are not matter that alone can be studied physically and chemically, and they may not be conceived to be imprisoned in the brain matter any more than a prisoner in an isolation cell may be prevented from thinking as he pleases. Each language has its own grammar and sounds structure to which it responds. The
ideas are universal, though differently expressed in each language. Logic is not language dependent, and thus it is not dependent on the brain-mouth nerve connections; and it is clearly misrepresented when it is not delved deeply into but is being expressed carelessly, even though unknowingly, in every language lacking the tools for its exact expression, as well as when the tools it possesses are being improperly used. Rather, Logic is the Universal Law of Total Mindfulness the honest, careful and self-respecting minds longingly seek always to obey! With all this thus becoming crystal clear, it is now seen that we need to confess that we have yet to recognize and accept as a hard fact that we all are also made of and possess, each one of us one's own, a spiritual self that alone can be called ἐνδιάθεσις (= innate) Λόγος, in which Ἰογική (= Logic) rules over the spiritual denizens of that by no means less real though immaterial realm. More simply, we are not just only matter, but are also Spirit, even as some of us try very hard indeed to deny it, unwilling as they are to deny their denying it, and in so doing, to deny the very instrument they too possess by which they too tackle the world of ideas, except the part of the very existence of that world, by calling the ideas emanations of matter; which they steadfastly refuse to confess as denizens or emanations of their immaterial self, that only for being such can escape arrest by any material instrument of their own devising that would prove their thesis!

D

Bearing in mind all the above and considering coolly and without preconceptions the structure of the Jn.1.1 sentence "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and God was the Word" (which is the exact sequence of the corresponding words in the Greek original), these questions immediately stand out:
1. Which "beginning" is there being referred to?
1a. Is the word "beginning" to be understood in terms of some point in ever flowing time?
1b. If so, how indeed can we avoid mentioning, and still worse ignore what went on before?
1b-i. What clear evidence, indeed, unambiguous proof do we have that "time", as we think we "understand" it, has never begun but has always been, rather than that it truly is an instituted physical quantity of the created universe, in other words, nothing other than its age???
1c. Is it not inexcusable that we do as in 1b, when referring to the Holy God???
1d. Is it not then much more preferable, considering the 1b, not to ignore what went on before, and understand not some thus left undefined "beginning", but the never begun but always having existed Absolute Εξουσία, that is also and principally meant by the Greek word Ἀρχή, (see below), that is being mistranslated as "beginning"?
2. Beginning of what is it to be understood?
3. Which specific word is it being referred to as the Word?
4. How was it that that word, now written as the Word, alone was with God?
5. Was the Word just God (i.e., one among many), or the one only true God?
5a. By what license do we dare call the Lord Jesus Christ "Word"???
And then:
6. Considering the options available under each of the above five headings, what indeed are the chances that, without our devoting all our Διάνοιαν (= Intellect), we can pick out all, and only the, correct options???
7. So, how can it be that the correct Theology can be built, to which we all can, unfailingly persuaded by Logic, subscribe to?
8. So, how can it have escaped the Holy God that with so many ambiguities, if indeed such are at all built in [please, pardon me O Lord for the seemingly implied blasphemy that I do not intend!], we cannot avoid being confounded?
9. And thus finally, is it not far far much more likely that the Holy God did not miss anything, and the fault is solely ours for not having delved deeply enough into the true etymological meaning of each Greek word in the original text, that would wipe out all of the above ambiguities???

How that can be done will be tried below and what it obtains shall be shown. But before that, one must consider that for long centuries have people been led to believe that firm belief "is" beyond reasoning; which is very difficult indeed to reverse. Thus, they choose this or that by psychological inclination, family tradition, or desire to be with the majority in/of their work environment or community, or even with what passes as being in vogue. Anyhow, it cannot be doubted that the absence of clear reasoning has affected the quality both of belief and unbelief. How much it has increased the number of believers in this or that option is more difficult to assess. Generally, people are more inclined to decide to reject belief in the opposite option as a matter of what seems to them to be easier, or more nakedly profitable, whether in the pocket, or in the formal social standing, or in the conscience over the short or long run to be inclined toward, not as a matter of failure to find answers through an agonizing search.

E

Consider the original Greek sentence "Ἐν ἀρχῇ ἦν ὁ Λόγος, καὶ ὁ Λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν Θεόν, καὶ Θεὸς ἦν ὁ Λόγος." Ἀρχὴ does not just mean only "beginning"! It also and much much more strongly means ἐξουσία (= authority), and as it is here implicitly combined in the self same word with the "beginning" it means the Absolute Εξουσία (= Supreme Authority & Sovereignty), that as such had had no beginning but had always been, or else the meaning is rendered incomplete as it leaves us wondering as to what existed before, that must be seen to be an implicit blasphemy to associate it at all with the Holy and Perfect God! The Holy God never fools or deceives! All ambiguities are due to our own careless reasoning and expression! The word Ἀρχὴ relates directly to the active verb ἔρχομαι (= ἐξουσιάζω = I am the Authority); the present tense masculine participle of which is ἐρχόμαι (= ἐξουσιάζων = the Imperious Authority); the passive of which is ἔρχομαι, ἐν θεῷ (= I am subject to). The word Ἀρχὴ relates only indirectly to the derived verb ἔρχομαι (= I begin), the true middle voice of
which is ἄρχωμαι (e.g., narrating) ἄπα, meaning I begin with/from.

Thus, what was ἐν ἄρχῃ could never have been the product, the effect of an active subject (as the word is the effect of the cause/speaker uttering it), but the active subject, the cause itself! Therefore, Λόγος was not the "Word" that is only the product of a talking subject, but the talking subject Himself, that is the conscious self of the Ἄιδιον (= the ever Being) Reasoning Person In Whom alone Λογική (= Logic) holds absolute sway and makes Λόγος, i.e., the Ἄιδιον (ever Being) Reasoning Person Perfect. The expression "καὶ ὁ Λόγος ἦν πρὸς τὸν Θεόν, καὶ Θεός ἦν ὁ Λόγος" can thus only be correctly understood as meaning that the Ἄιδιον Reasoning Person and God always were and are and shall be nothing other than the self same truly invariant and invariable spiritually substantive Living Person. The expression "ἡν πρὸς" is most unusual in Greek (if ever met elsewhere in Greek) and literally means "was towards", while the verb (first person present) εἰμί, (first and third person past) ἦν, (inf.) εἶναι truly is the categorical absolute verb not just for "existing" (see and fully understand the etymology of "exist", relating to the Greek εξιστάμιν) but for in and of itself and totally independent of anything else spiritual substantive being, that alone fits God's Being! In the Septuagint there exists the expression, stated to be of God, "before anything else was, I am", that fully intones the Lord's total constancy, unchangeability and independence even from time, that further stresses the fact that God belongs in a category of being that "contains" only Himself, (not just Him, which c/ would imply that category of being as something external containing God!)

The above discussion seems, yet only seems, to leave outside the thus constructed picture both the separateness (about which, see more below) and the incarnation, leading to the crucifixion, of the Second Person of the Holy Trinity. In this respect, we must understand that the Apostles/writers were not scholars of the Greek language and philosophy, and they may not be expected to have supplied us with everything that the still living(?) Church has yet to comprehend, even after two thousand years of supposedly active "Theology"! Neither may we dismiss lightly the more than mere suspicion that the picture of the Holy Trinity eventually formed did adopt and adapt at least some aspects of the Hebrew tradition and of the various Roman triumvirates. Further, that the Father sent His only begotten Son, eventually to be crucified, is in broad agreement with the Abrahamic act seen as an obligatory tradition of not hesitating, unquestioningly, to sacrifice Isaac. To our present-day sensibilities, that in pursuit of a cause a father should send his only begotten son to be murdered by a maniac lawless crowd speaks of unspeakable totally unbecoming a father loveless cruelty. Is it sane and pious of us to believe that God the Father loved us more that His own inseparable from Himself God the Son? Isn't that much much too arrogant of us, creatures essentially crawling the humus, so highly to think of ourselves, pretending to be pious? Do we not blaspheme the Lord, if in order that we escape the clutch, we silently let it be understood that God truly played a trick, knowing that He would soon resurrect His Son? We cannot but say that indeed we do, to the eternal shame of so-called "theologians", also thus leaving our social matters to slide to their present state!

Moreover, the expression of the Creed "… the only begotten Son of God, begotten of the Father before all ages…" truly reveals an inadequate under-
standing of the character of time that has exacted a heavy price on the quality of
Theology so far developed: the act of begetting unavoidably splits the time
continuum into a before and an after the act sections; and this split cannot
Logically have taken place "before all ages", as there could clearly not have been
a before before that before! The only Logically possible escape out is to liken the
relationship of the Father to the Son to the relationship of the ThinkingMind/
theReasoningFunction to the MindExpressingMouth/theCommunicatingFunction
as it exists in a single wholesome personality: both thinking and expressing, by
their being properties, functions, operations of the same one person, fit fully the
relationship of the Father and the Son as together constituting One Person; that,
however, is still incomplete without theSelf-inspiringFunction of the whole
Personality, that the Christians call the Holy Spirit as it functions as a member
of the Holy Trinity. Only thus, i.e., with all these three functions correctly
balanced and lovingly co-operating for the sake of thus conceived and become
achievable Good is the One Person made Complete and Perfect: The "Father"
Reasons. The "Son" Expresses the "Father". The "Holy Spirit" Inspires Itself and
the Other Two! [It is obvious that if any of the three stands by oneself as in a
triunvirate, he would be incomplete for lacking the properties of the other two,
which is completely avoided by means of the above conception!] Thus it is (a)
that the conscious sin against the "Holy Spirit" shall never be forgiven, because
it is It/Him that inspires from within, not from without even God the "Father"
forward towards Absolute Perfection and Holiness; and (b) that whoever has seen
the "Son" has indeed seen the "Father"! The Lord Jesus Christ was the only way
the Complete Godhead could appear on earth, and the seers of Him (the Lord
Jesus Christ) could still survive intact, thus keeping their/our freedom to believe
or not to believe, to act or not to act as He said; which freedom is, however,
retained for a price, according to how Reasonably they/we made/make good use
of it!

That the three Functions of the Holy Trinity have been called Persons, has
had an advantage when the "Mystery" of the Godhead was presented to people
still unable to comprehend how the Perfect God IS and operates. It also has got a
very clear disadvantage as we saw above, if understood in still worse than the
Abrahamic tradition of God the Father sacrificing His only begotten Son while
Himself remained impassively aloof! The Lord Jesus Christ was not just the
"Son" but the entire Godhead become incarnate for us to see Him Complete in
our form, that alone we could understand, to see our Creator, to cross eyes with
Him, who truly is nothing other than our Father, who willingly came to be
crucified as a man by our hands, sensing all that pain for our sake, experiencing
as a man all that worse than any other kind of suffering that we ever devised,
just in order that we really see the abyssal depths to which we have thrown and
are still throwing ourselves ever deeper; so that we, comprehending at very long
last our real state and condition, desire and decide to start with Him assisting us
the arduous, as it must be, ascent towards the Saving Light! Heaven may not be
won just for nothing!!! He, the Complete and Perfect God became the sacrificial
lamb, and only asked of us that we love each other! Brother, are your eyes still
dry? Just look at us!!!
Thus: in the "beginning", i.e., before the institution of physical time, there
indeed was the Perfect Personal Pure Spiritual Entity we call both God and
Ultimate Cause. He created all that subsequently came into being, for a clearly
seen and set objective. [If there still remains an objection in the minds of some,
they only need consider the scientists and the science they produce: Which is the
cause and which is the effect; they or it? Can it ever be the science that produced
the scientists? Can all the professors and Nobel laureates putting their heads
together prove it? That they cannot, isn't that proof that principally by their minds
they built their labs and subatomic supercolliders, or do they truly believe
themselves to be products of their own products? Where does insanity and incongruent "reasoning" stop???] Surely they used already available materials,
present before even they existed! Herein lies the cardinal difficulty: it is the
scientists that studied the material universe, and they found that it has an age of
some 12 to 13 billion years, which shows that it has not always been; and it has
been the strict, complete and correct dimensional analysis of "Newton's" Law of
Gravitation that verifies their findings, even as it demolishes their twentieth
century theories, as it is being shown in
http://www.thefreegpslibrary.edu.gr/01.%20%20PRINCIPIA%20PHYSICA%20UNIVERSI.pdf,
http://www.thefreegpslibrary.edu.gr/07.%20%20UNANSWERED%20LAST%20LETTERS.pdf,
http://www.thefreegpslibrary.edu.gr/08.%20%20OBSCURANTISM%20EXPOSED.pdf,
and
http://www.thefreegpslibrary.edu.gr/09.%20%20VOICE%20THUNDERING%20IN%20THE
%20WILDERNESS.pdf,
challenged by which, they have not managed to produce even a whimper, but an
all too audible gnashing of the teeth! Which further unquestionably shows that
"before" some 12 to 13 billion years there was no matter at all! So also, is it not
that only dragged by misdirected habit developed in this world we impermissibly
as supposedly correctly reasoning scientists ask about what happened "before"
some 12 to 13 billion years? How do we prove that at least "time" as we now
sense it has always been and that it really is thus other than the age of the
universe, rather than that it has really been co-created along with it? Before the
creation of the universe what could time measure, and how could it indeed be
sensed at all by whom?

Thus do we come to the real crux of the matter: With nothing material
present "before" about some 12 to 13 billion years, and with the effect that we
call the physical universe ever since present, it is unquestionably pertinent to ask
for the Cause (which in Greek is also denoted by the word and the entire concept
of Λόγος). It is the effect we observe as the entire functioning physical universe
that introduces and suggests attention to, and our full respect for, the Cause,
that humanity for untold centuries has innately sensed without any of our present
knowledge and has called "God", and Plato impliedly as the "natural" yet purely
spiritual home of the Perfect Ideas!

And thus do we finally come to the only remaining question of HOW the
Cause, obviously solely out of Himself (as we are duty bound to say in the total
absence of anything other, about which we know absolutely nothing) produced
the material world in all its completion, with matter (including light), time, energy, action, motion, all subject to the full gamut of physical laws already known and those still to be discovered. Physics and our entire science must thus yield to the Ultimate Cause's Omniscience and Omnipotence, that alone could and did supply the HOW (that shall remain beyond us in our present condition), as a result of which we all are here, doing what and why only He unquestionably fully knows! And so, despite that last remaining question, there is only one conclusion to be drawn that cannot but silence all honorable objection: As the scientists are the cause, and the science they produce is the effect, so too the Creator produced His creation and it is not the latter that dreams vainly of Him!

So, why did He create us? Obviously, in order to become His co-workers in building together a still greater Good! To make that possible, we had to be equally free to do both Good and Evil, and by free choice to choose to do only Good! If given the choice we did only Good we too would become little Gods! Not in the least a little accomplishment! Alas! We so abuse our freedom that on the Lord's balance, we cannot in the least be at all proud of ourselves!

Remember the greatest of all suggestions [not a commandment, that as such would or could even ever so little be seen as infringing upon our freedom, but infinitely much rather, a friendly loving request, than which none would or could be of still greater value!] ever made to us: "Love thy God with all with all thy love, all thy heart, all thy soul, all thy strength, all thy power, all thy intellect, and thy neighbor as thyself? We never asked ourselves the question: are the items of the first part arranged in ascending or descending order of importance? Or, perhaps, starting with the easiest to understand (as babies, in order to grow to become healthy adults must first of all be loved, love being the most understandable, by both adults and babies, of all those attributes!), they all must be applied at their necessary maximum effectiveness obtained with constant practice, just as body muscles are also built?

He asked that we start with the proper sentiment coming from the heart, supported by the soul, both exercised with strength and power, all of them led securely forward by the intellect! All the former can, at least in principle, be somewhat understood. It is the latter that is not properly understood in the least! We all have heard the "God acts in mysterious ways", that suggests that we stand silently in awe before the incomprehensible, to which priests and "theologians" ever so often resort! By that they think they can escape the enormous difficulty they face that causes them to resort to this expression, totally forgetting, much more likely ignoring [you choose how to understand this word] the close association of the word "mysterious" with pitch darkness! So is the God of Absolute Light, Who fears nothing by them depicted to be hiding in darkness, wherefrom He, according to them, is making us wonder as to what is truly at issue! If there ever was a misapplication and abuse of our intellect ever so lazily left undeveloped, by which misapplication and abuse so many are led directly to faithlessness, the above statement proves it decisively! They have decided that
the Lord "cannot" be understood!!! They never prayed fervently, insistently, persuasively enough that He help them understand Him! They most of all forgot that He said "ask and it shall be given, knock and it shall be opened"! They violently, abusively divorced Theology from our intellect, by which The Lord can most easily and safely be approached and understood! They abandoned our intellect to the hands of such as today's "philosophers" "philosophizing" trivial, and to "scientists" literally wasting both their minds and this planet's resources that do not belong to them, in pursuits of no spiritual or true practical value, but in pursuits that both keep them busy and filling the pockets of those that produce the ever more expensive tools of "research" that proves nothing solid and only seeks to support suspiciously built and always doubtful theories (see the Note on pp.18-21, below). Just think of the true benefits to the "human" race accrued by the race to be the first to get to the Moon; the expensive effort to find on it at best very deeply frozen water; the enormous expense for space stations and missions to the solar system's planets and beyond. Only certified lunatics may think of us destined for the stars, that keeps us searching the heavens for suitable habitats! Remember the first step of Neil Armstrong on the Moon: Only due to thinking that walking there would be as it is here that his first step there was truly a jump, and he lost his balance! Just imagine another planet of twice the surface area of this one, yet "like" this one. An excellent finding, you say? Just think again: Twice the surface area goes with increasing the volume to \(2^{3/2}\) times, and increasing to at least \(2^{1/2} = 1.4142\) times the weight of both your present mass on it and that of your bag [due to the additional compaction of the planet's matter due to self gravitation]? Any tree seeds you carry there shall grow to a tree at most \(1/1.4142 = 0.7071\) its height here, because its trunk capillaries shall not be able to carry the juices any higher! On a planet of a radius 2.3 times the Earth's, the mass of you and of your bag shall weigh 2.3 times their present weight here, and your tree shall reach at most \(1/2.3 = 0.4348\) its present height! If nothing else, these sobering thoughts must teach you that we are made to fit only this planet, we cannot live elsewhere! Have the "experts" never thought of these matters? Or do they, purposefully with malign intent never mention them to the plebs who foot the bill of their pointless activities? (The above lines here in blue recast, simplify and correct the somewhat confusing and incorrect original statements.)

To support us while here, i.e., before we depart this material cosmos, God had to create the entire physical universe! Without it, the Earth very simply cannot exist as we know it! If you still ask how God enters the picture, you need only consider that the universe is only 12-13 billion years old, meaning that it did not exist before that time, meaning that it did not always exist, meaning that it could not have been self-created, as we know not, nor can we at all understand the concept of any physical cause that also is its own effect! We totally fail to understand the concept of physical, measurable time, if we think of it as having always been and shall be eternal! It too has been created, and as only such can it at all be measured, along with the rest of the physical universe, of which it is an inseparable part! Without matter that in its entirety is a clock ticking, we could not create clocks, i.e., the means by which we measure the flow of time!

For our sake, "God had to create the entire physical universe!", Most definitely, God is not wasteful, He is not a miser either, but the absolute Economiser, from Whom nothing ever escaped! For His objective, we were and
are needed! And it is only us! The "scientists" do not have the all too many fortitude to tell you of the unassailable findings of the dimensional analysis of the Law of Gravitation, that disqualifies all their supposedly still "standing" theories! The Law of Gravitation leads from within it to the Law of the expansion of the universe out there that is functioning only under constant G (Newton's true constant of gravitation) and M (the total mass of the universe). Expansion introduces perforce the concept of the velocity of light with which necessarily the front of the universe expands. But in order that the velocity of light be constant everywhere in the entire universe at any given time, the mass density in it must be a function of \( p = r/R \), \( r \) being the radial distance of any point to the center of expansion and \( R \) the radius of the expanding universal front. It inerexorably follows that, physical life as we know it is not possible everywhere in the universe, but only in the spherical shell in which this earth and galaxy belong. We do not have any evidence that this galaxy (let alone all other galaxies in the same spherical shell) supports elsewhere in it our kind of life. Nor is it at all useful to a universal cause for other intelligent kinds of life to exist with which the exchange of meaningful messages is not possible within a useful to both time span: If it takes, say, a thousand years to get back an answer to your "Hi there, how are you!", most definitely the answer cannot be useful to you, and most likely neither shall it be to your progeny a thousand years hence! It is meaningless to ask about the family or the weather! It is meaningful to ask only about their understanding of the meaning of the universe. But this requires that both civilizations be totally sincere first with themselves on that very issue before they can even think of starting a conversation, and that necessarily in a language they both command with ease, or else the "conversation" can only be meaningless and thus superfluous! Do you think that these and all ultimate-meaning-carrying considerations escaped the Demiurge?

In this entire physical universe we are alone! But this sets an unconfessed responsibility totally unbearable by all those bent on destroying each other on this planet whether by war, or "civilized" yet naked exploitation, or even created purposeful hunger! For them, sworn enemies of everlasting meaning, believers in the meaningless of everything, the only thing that carries "meaning" is the ever greater ease of their own personal, yet by their own free acceptance of it, meaningless life! Brother, you still are free to applaud them, be they "leaders", be they "professors", be they "artists", or shake your head and turn your back to them! By their plentiful offerings do you indeed know them, if you have taken care to acquire the needed criteria!

Alas, the vast majority of us chose and choose the easy way out to where we know not, rather than the certainty of Heaven guaranteed by the Personal Cause Himself. We decline the offer to become His conscientious co-workers in building together a still greater Good; choosing instead to pursue cheap objectives, easily surpassable, of totally false glitter, thereby exposing ourselves to the unbearable criticism of not choosing the Everlasting Value! Despite the ancient exemplars of how to pursue wisdom, despite the still standing ancient lighthouses in the darkness of the vast ocean of ignorance, despite even the mere sparks given us since for a better understanding of the Spiritual Cosmos, we never made consistent good use of them! We only pretend "admiring" them; we steadfastly refuse emulating them and leading on from where they left off! Thus:
"Theology" has become a profession, now openly more than ever before being corrupted and corrupting, silently observing the slide of humanity to the abyss from the sidelines, never thundering a voice of divinely loving anger in the face of the atrocious crimes we today maniacally commit, before which those of the past simply pale! And "philosophy" is openly and shamelessly self-set to serve the purposes of those that supply the funds on which today "philosophers" of practically every hue servilely subsist! While "science" provides all the necessary tools of destruction while fooling us with the means of longer lasting yet more suffering "purposeless" lives and objects and "findings" calling for our "I want it, too!" and our applause! They all resolutely refuse to give a thought to what ought long since to have been seen as a worthy goal for the existence of Humanity!

The all too clear picture of the supposed "wise" demonstrating themselves to be the most unwise!!! Blinded by their perceived as "sparkle", though it truly is shame, the observing masses keep on becoming ever blinder, unwilling and unable to see farther ahead!

The day the curtains shall fall to shut out the worthless showings on the same shameful stage all over the world is getting ever closer by the day! Dies Irae is fast coming upon us!

DO YOU OBJECT BROTHER? IF SO, BE KIND AND ANALYZE ALL THE ABOVE AND SHOW ITS REAL, NOT ITS IMAGINED WEAKNESSES!!! TOGETHER SHALL WE ALL ASCEND – BY OUR CHOICE WHETHER TO BLISS OR BLAME!!!

N. B. Just as these thoughts were being put in the above final form, there came the news that the 2011 Nobel prize for Physics was awarded for the "finding" that the universe is supposedly expanding at an ever accelerating rate, "faster than expected"! So the question: Faster than expected based on a solidly established physical law, or faster than theory and the suppositions on which it is based had led some to believe? The news did not clarify this all too important point. Then, others speculated that the finding relates to the unseen "dark matter" out there beyond the seen matter that pulls the latter farther out faster than expected.
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Let us just consider the possibilities under which the universe may expand at an ever accelerating rate: Consider a point in the universe at which all forces in it are at balance. That point cannot be other than the center of the universe, if it expands having started therefrom.

A particle at another point can be considered to be accelerating outward if the gravitation of all the masses within the sphere delineated by it is somehow weakened (e.g., by the density of matter within that sphere being or becoming less than so far thought, either as a result of the amount of matter within it being less than so far thought, or the radius up to that point being larger than so far thought), while the gravitation of the masses within the spherical shell outside said point is not, or is increased (e.g. as a result of the amount of matter within that shell being more than so far thought, or the thickness of that shell to the outermost front of the expanding universe being less than so far considered, thus pulling outwards said point faster than so far thought). But for anything of some such nature to happen, either an additional law is needed to have begun operating, which is not being suggested; or that the distances and the local density of matter at various radii from the center of expansion have been miscalculated! Otherwise, the fault, if a fault is truly so far involved, has been due either to erroneous assumptions on which the structure of the multiple level theories assumed to explain the operation of the universe is based; or to faulty conceptions on which the standing theories were constructed. Without detailed reevaluation of all these matters, the speed with which additional propositions are being made and the "findings" as to what truly is and happens out there is totally unwarranted.

Then only some days ago (today is Oct. 5, 2011) the news had come that neutrinos travel faster than light, which came as a great surprise. Why? Is it not to be expected that, as light also possesses corpuscular characteristics, given an equal impulse supplied to a "particle" and a "wave", it is to be expected that the lighter of the two shall travel faster? And is it not true that in a medium of higher refraction index, the true distance traveled is greater than that traveled in a medium of lower refraction index though of equal measured thickness? Doesn't our surprise reveal a rather poor understanding of the concept of "light"? If something is more lightweight and something else is radiative "light", why shouldn't the more lightweight of the two travel faster given an equal impulse?! Why must we keep on ignoring the dual meaning of the word "light", that perhaps not so mysteriously is indeed there?

Then again, returning to the discussion of the proceedings in the universe, the notion of expansion unavoidably implies a constrained space (ultimately, ideally, a point in space) out of which expansion began. So, inescapably, a properly reasoning person in an expanding universe must consider the time the observed object took to reach from the center of expansion the point at which it was when it emitted the light the observer now detects it, and the time light took to reach the observer from that point; the sum of those two time intervals cannot and may not be other than the age of the universe at the time of observation! In no way does that prevent other bodies to have reached farther away than the point thus observed, from which those bodies also send away their own light. Thus inevitably, all points reached by objects taking longer to be reached and to send therefrom their light to a "present" time observer than the "present" age of the universe allows shall be unobservable by him at the "present" time, and thus must be considered as "presently" unseeable, not as "dark" matter (whatever this term is made to mean)! But these hard facts in no way may be regarded as altering the structure of the entire universe, that is determined under the hard laws to which it is subject; and not under the theories in vogue, that are being constantly adjusted and reinterpreted to be understood as "showing" this or that!

Do the universal laws change with, as themselves being functions of, the
universal age? As far as it is known, *nobody has ever suggested* something of this nature, and we must doubt that people can reasonably agree on how such a change can be written down mathematically! This is entirely different from the *structure of matter* that is designed to be subject to change as a function of the universal age. The universal laws are there for all the time (namely, the lump sum of time we, otherwise, must call the entire age of the universe), during and at the end of which the Creator alone shall determine that the universe has accomplished its mission! If He should leave it alone, the universe, under the laws set down for it to obey, shall keep on obeying them and shall expand to infinity (and infinite separation even between the fundamental particles) under the tandem laws of expansion and gravitation, as determined (by the dimensional analysis of the *totally unquestionable* latter law) to be operating together as shown in


Gravitation only controls the expansion, that develops as these two laws together determine the "evolution" of the universe.

As even all laws are effects of their causes, i.e., effects of their lawmakers, between 1687 and at least 1916 nobody seems to have wondered seriously and committedly about the nature of the cause, the lawmaker, of the law of gravitation, except as only Newton himself ever so lightly touched that subject within his "Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica"! So, in the latter year, Einstein presented his theory of general relativity, (see [http://www.physik.uni-augsburg.de/annalen/history/einstein-papers/1916_49_769-822.pdf](http://www.physik.uni-augsburg.de/annalen/history/einstein-papers/1916_49_769-822.pdf)), in which, on page 777, he stated:"


Analytischer Ausdruck für das Gravitationsfeld.

Es kommt mir in dieser Abhandlung nicht darauf an, die allgemeine Relativitätstheorie als ein möglichst einfaches logisches System mit einem Minimum von Axiomen darzustellen. Sodern es ist mein Hauptziel, diese Theorie so zu entwickeln, daß der Leser die psychologische Natürlichkeit des eingeschlagenen Weges empfindet und daß die zu Grunde gelegten Voraussetzungen durch die Erfahrung möglichst gesichert erscheinen. In diesem Sinne bei nun die Voraussetzung einge führt: ...

and thus attempted to subject the entire universe to such constraints "... that the reader will feel that the path we have entered upon is *psychologically* the natural one, and that the underlying assumptions will *seem* to have the highest possible degree of security. ...", as stated [with emphases here added] in the Dover edition of 1952 [of an unabridged and unaltered republication of the 1923 English translation published by Methuen & Company Ltd], following a "special arrangement with Methuen and Company and Albert Einstein"; Standard Book Number: 486-60081-5, shall keep on

One is duty bound to wonder how and by whom one is licensed to regard as one's own and every reader's the right to think and speak of the universe in the light of one's own *psychological* sentiments, especially considering that they are not even constant, rather than based on rock-solid and hard natural facts!? One is, therefore, duty bound to wonder how and why there has not been even one in ninety five years to have taken the scientific "establishment" to task for just the issue of the *psychological* factor, *unless* that "establishment" is so close-knit and so powerful that it so far has managed to silence *all* dissenting voices! If indeed so, *all* its members *cannot be honest* seekers of the Truth! *All* those who ever objected must
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have been cast out and successfully prevented from voicing publicly their objections, reservations and dissent, without their names ever being mentioned! In light of which, practically all that is being said about the universe by the "establishment", but stands beyond the solid grasp of our own healthy bodily and sane and honest mental fist must be taken with total reservation!

When "psychology" is accepted as a reasonable and respectable parameter in physics, no hard physical science can be made, but only "science", that could only have led us to where we all now stand all over the world!!! The present-day "scientific" establishment must be made to tell us unequivocally whether it considers Newton's Law of Gravitation to be unquestionably absolute, or itself also relative; in which case, relative to what? And again, whether that what is itself absolute or relative! For, surely, we cannot have an infinite regress of things all relative! The pre-Einsteinian notion of relativity always referred impliedly back to something unquestionably absolute! Do they seriously intend to tell us that the Einsteinian notion of relativity implies total physical and thus also intellectual uncertainty about everything? If so, by what license did Einstein eventually object to quantum mechanics?? And then, by what right indeed does the "scientific" establishment (selling us what we the "uninitiated" to its unconfessed secrets take as truly being hard science) feed so voraciously on society, by them led without a chart and compass to venture not just at high seas but at an incredibly vast and violent ocean in which, thus axiomatically, there is absolutely no hope of haven?? If so, then, there is definitely something very rotten indeed in our state of "Denmark"!! So:
WANTED:

THREE COMPETENT ADVOCATES
ONE TO EACH
AND ALL TOGETHER
TO DEFEND
TODAY'S
"THEOLOGY",
"PHILOSOPHY",
AND
"SCIENCE"
!!!
INESCAPABLE CONSEQUENCES OF DEFECTIVE REASONING

BY: GEORGE P. STAVROPOULOS

Following all my earlier efforts to put on the public record my thoughts on the total Universal physical, intellectual and Spiritual Reality that I have long considered to have been consciously ignored as a complete in itself challenge before us by all present-day so-called specialists in Theology, Philosophy, and Science, I thought it to be necessary to produce a brief closing Epilogue touching on the unseeable, unless they are deliberately sought, internal connections of the total Universal Reality that looks straight at us in the eyes; before which, cowering on account of unspeakable sins, both adults and old, but not in the least senile, men stubbornly as children ill-bred avert their eyes!

Deliberately, my focus here has not been in the consequences themselves, that are plainly evident to all that have the least courage needed to see all that is truly going on. It, instead, has been in connecting to the Ultimate Beginning, the neglect and stubborn ignorance of which, being enacted not without all too conscious malice aforethought and insistent deliberation of objectives, has led to those consequences. The objectives sought would otherwise have remained in the abyss they truly belong, had all men honored their true Greek name as "Ἀνθρωπος"! Alas! It has been in pursuit of those objectives that men have been persuaded that they are "human", mere products of the ground, the humid humus, in Greek called υγρόν χάος! Indeed, what may you expect from "humanity" and "humanism", given that etymology of these names?! The aristocrats act aristocratically in honor of their lineage. So, too, it also is that the plebeians consider it an honor to be called "human"! Such, in pursuit of abyssal objectives, having no ἀνθρωπικὴν συνείδησιν (i.e., a conscience tuned to Heaven) have, alas, come to the top, crawling! So it is that they do not see it as their sacred duty to elevate mankind ever higher! So, instead, do they suck the nourishing juices both of the earth and of the society! So it is that you may not expect to be lifted up by them! Heaven is not where they can thrive, the humus is, and there do they purposefully intend to keep you, in order to keep on sucking you! This is why they, despite appearances, pretentious solemnity, hightalking language and all sorts of ostentatiousness, have made-turned themselves to irreconcilable enemies of God, the Ultimate Cause of Good, and they turn green on hearing His Name, especially in connection to His being the Ultimate Cause of everything that falls under their own seeming "expertise"; despite which, they consciously do nothing to avert the consequences they have brought upon us all!

So, in all your doings and all your seeming non-doings with them, for your own sacred Good my Reader, remember the Latin caveat emptor!

Athens, October 2011.